



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.iispito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/244,190	02/04/1999	KUNIAKI KOGA	12407	3452		
23389 7	590 03/21/2003					
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
	400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY, NY 11530		ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2635	10		
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003	<i>i</i>		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



્						
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/244,190	KOGA, KUNIAKI			
	Advisory Motion	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brian A Zimmerman	2635			
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Therefore, fur final rejection condition for	FILED 13 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE 1 ther action by the applicant is required to a under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to ich places the application	a in		
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
b) The p event, ONLY 706.0		visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPI	EP		
nave been filed is 37 CFR 1.17(a) is (b) above, if chec	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date the date for purposes of determining the period of extens calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as s	fee under set forth in		
	ce of Appeal was filed on Appellant R 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2.⊠ The pro	pposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) 🛛 the	ey raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) 🗌 the	ey raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
	ey are not deemed to place the application sues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplif	ying the		
(d) 🔲 th	ey present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
N	OTE: <u>displaying simultaneously has not been p</u>	previously considered.				
3. ☐ Applica	nt's reply has overcome the following rejec	ction(s):				
-	proposed or amended claim(s) would ing the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed ame	ndment		
5. The a)[applica	☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo ation in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT pla	ce the		
	idavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were new	wly		
7.⊠ For pur explan	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The sta	itus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The pro	posed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9.□ Note th	e attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Brian A Zimmerman Primary Examiner			
. Patent and Tradema	rk Office		Art Unit: 2635			

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)